2014 INVENTORY, APPRAISAL & INSPECTION SNAPSHOT

PIKE COUNTY ENGINEER

Inventory Data - BR 87 NBIS Bridges Only

		NBIS COUNT
NBIS Bridges > 20'		168
Bridges 10'-20'		169
		337
Possible NBIS length errors	0	

ltem 95	Inspection Responsibility		CODE	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
	County		3	168	100.0%
ltem 97	Maintenance responsibility				
	County		3	160	95.2%
	City or other local		4	0	0.0%
	Railroad	*	6	8	4.8%
				168	100.0%
ltem 100	Type service on bridge				
	Other		0	0	0.0%
	Highway		1	162	96.4%
	Railroad	*	2	6	3.6%
	Ped/Bikeway		3	0	0.0%
	Hwy/RR		4	0	0.0%
	Hwy/Ped		5	0	0.0%
	RR Abnd. rails rem'vd		A	0	0.0%
				168	100.0%
ltem 100	Type service under bridge				
	Hwy w/ or w/o Ped		1	6	3.6%
	Railroad	*	2	2	1.2%
	Ped/Bkwy		3	0	0.0%
	Hwy w/ RR		4	0	0.0%
	Waterway		5	160	95.2%
	Hwy/Waterway		6	0	0.0%
	RR/Waterway		7	0	0.0%
	Hwy/Wtrway/RR		8	0	0.0%
	Relief (RR w/o tracks)		9	0	0.0%
	Other		0	0	0.0%
				168	100.0%

Structure Type	CODE	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
concrete slab simple	111	8	4.8%
concrete slab continuous	112	11	6.5%
concrete beam simple	121	3	1.8%
concrete box beam simple	131	1	0.6%
concrete arch deck	153	1	0.6%
concrete arch filled	155	2	1.2%
concrete frame simple	171	22	13.1%
concrete culvert filled	195	7	4.2%
prestressed conc. beam simple	221	2	1.2%
prestressed conc. box beam simple	231	33	19.6%
steel beam simple	321	56	33.3%
steel beam continuous	322	3	1.8%
steel box beam simple	331	2	1.2%
steel girder deck	363	1	0.6%
steel girder thru	364	2	1.2%
steel culvert other *	390	1	0.6%
steel culvert filled	395	3	1.8%
steel truss (pony)	34A	10	6.0%
		168	100.0%

Item 188	Fracture Critical	*	CODE	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
	fracture cr	itical member	Y	12	7.1%
	fracture cr	itical member	Ν	156	92.9%
				168	100.0%
	No. of stee	el trusses and girders	34 <u>x</u> , 36 <u>x</u>	13	
			1 RR girder		
	Fracture Critical File	to be completed by A	April 1, 2013	<u>COUNT</u>	
	Required Fracture Crit	ical Files	13 truss/girde	12	
	(including written Pro	cedure and FPD)			
	Gusset Pl. Analysis	to be completed by I	December 31, 2011	COUNT	
	Required Gusset Plate	Analysis	10 trusses	10	

Item 189	Underwater	CODE	COUNT	<u>%</u>
	requires dive inspection	Ν	168	100.0%
	requires dive inspection	Y	0	0.0%
	dive inspection dates		0	0.0%
			168	0.0%

Item 74	Scour				
		Bridge not over waterway	Ν	8	4.8%
		unknown foundation	U	0	0.0%
		over tidal waters	Т	0	0.0%
		foundations on dry land	9	1	0.6%
		stable above footing	8	114	67.9%
		countermeasures installed	7	4	2.4%
		no scour evaluation made	6	0	0.0%
		stable within footer limits	5	38	22.6%
		stable action needed	4	2	1.2%
POA?		scour critical - unstable	3	1	0.6%
		scour critical - scour present	2	0	0.0%
		scour critical - failure imminent	1	0	0.0%
		scour critical - bridge failed	0	0	0.0%
				168	100.0%
ltem 71	Foundatio	on Type			
		Forward Abutment	U	6	3.6%
		Rear Abutment	U	6	3.6%
		Predominate Pier *	U	7	4.2%
		Unknown Pier Foundation on Sir	ngle Span bridges	5	3.0%

Item 87	Plan Information	CODE	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
	no plans	0	16	9.5%
	plans available	1	106	63.1%
	field information	2	41	24.4%
	not applicable	Ν	5	3.0%
			168	100.0%

Rating Factor	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
Operating RF and Inventory RF equal to each other	0	0.0%

Documented Engineering Judgment	*	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
Method of Rating = 0 NO PLANS		15	8.9%

Method Of Rating = 5	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
	0	0.0%

Deep Culverts	*	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
Culvert	fill>6.5'	2	1.2%

195 Culvert vs 171 Frame *	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
# that do NOT meet the 2' Rule	1	0.6%

Item 84	Method of Analysis	CODE	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>		
	Field Eval & Doc. Eng Judgment	0	15	8.9%		
	WS or AS	1	32	19.0%		
	Load Factor (LF)	2	103	61.3%		
	Load & Resistance Factor	3	7	4.2%		
	Combination of methods	4	5	3.0%		
	Engineering Judgment Superstr	5	0	0.0%		
	Load testing	6	0	0.0%		
	Engineering Judgment Substr	7	0	0.0%		
	Assigned Rating (LFR) HS20	D	0	0.0%		
	Assigned Rating (LRFR) HL93	F	0	0.0%		
	Not applicable (Ped, RR, Bldg)	Х	6	3.6%		
			168	100.0%		
REMINDE	R:					
	Load Factor required for bridges built after 1	993 (with	certain exceptions)		
	LRFR required for bridges built after 2010					

Inspection Condition Data - BR 86 NBIS Bridges Only

General Appraisal	CODE	COUNT	<u>%</u>
9 Excelle	ent 9	42	25.0%
8 Very g	8 boo	28	16.7%
7 Good	7	26	15.5%
6 Satisfa	ctory 6	25	14.9%
5 Fair	5	21	12.5%
4 Poor	4	17	10.1%
3 Seriou	s 3	7	4.2%
2 Critica	2	2	1.2%
1 Immin	ent Failure 1	0	0.0%
0 Closed	0	0	0.0%
		168	100.0%

Rating Consistency *	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
GA <> Summary Items	0	0.0%
1-4 codes <> Summary		0.5%

INSPECTION FREQUENCY		COUNT
Number inspections per day		
	Avg.	8.2
	High	25
Recommended Max. 10 per day	# days over 10	<mark>16</mark>
Maximum 50 reviews per day		

Operating Status *	CODE	COUNT	<u>%</u>
Open, No restriction	А	152	90.5%
Open, posting recommended	В	0	0.0%
Open, Half width construction	С	0	0.0%
Open because of temporary fix	D	0	0.0%
Open using temporary structure	E	0	0.0%
New struture not yet open	G	0	0.0%
closed for load capacity reason	К	2	1.2%
Posted for load capacity	Р	12	7.1%
Posted for other than load	R	2	1.2%
Closed for other than load	х	0	0.0%
		168	100.0%

Item 41	AGE of BRIDGES	YEAR (built or rehab)	COUNT	
		-1900	1	0.6%
		1901-1910	0	0.0%
		1911-1920	2	1.2%
		1921-1930	2	1.2%
		1931-1940	4	2.4%
		1941-1950	7	4.2%
		1951-1960	15	8.9%
		1961-1970	9	5.4%
		1971-1980	6	3.6%
		1981-1990	16	9.5%
		1991-2000	53	31.5%
		2001-2010	41	24.4%
		2011-2020	12	7.1%
			168	100.0%

(C)	Compliant
(cc)	Substantially Compliant

- (SC) Substantially Compliant
- (CC) Conditionally Compliant (Adhering to approved pan of corrective action)
- (NC) Not Compliant

METRIC 6 Insp. Frequency Routine

Bridge Inspections Overdue	CTUAL COUNT	<u>% COMPLIANT</u>	COMPLIANCE
NBIS - 24 months	0	100.0%	(C)
ORC - 12 mo. + 6 mo. Inp	ut 0	100.0%	N/A

ORC is not in Metric 6

METRIC 8 - Insp. Frequency Underwater

Dive Inspections Overdue	ACTUAL COUNT		<u>% COMPLIAN</u>	T <u>COMPLIANCE</u>
60 months		0	N/A	(C)

METRIC 10 - Insp. Frequency FC Member

FC Inspections Overdue	ACTUAL COUNT	<u>% COMPLIANT</u>	COMPLIANCE
24 months	0	100.0%	(NC)

METRIC 13 - Load Rating

	Need for	# Not	% of NBIS	
Type of Metric check	<u>compliance</u>	Rated	<u>Rated</u>	COMPLIANCE
Deck, Super, Sub, Culvert Summary <=4	100%	0	100.0%	(C)
Operating Status = D or E	100%	0	100.0%	(C)
FC=Y	100%	0	100.0%	(C)
Operating Status = P or R	100%	0	100.0%	(C)
Bridges with no restrictions	100%	0	100.0%	(C)

METRIC 14 - Post or Restrict

		<u>%</u>	
		<u>COMPLIA</u>	
Bridge posting/closing Follow-through	COUNT	<u>NT</u>	COMPLIANCE
Bridges below 10% legal but not closed	0	100.0%	(C)
Operating Rating Factor = 0 but not closed	0	100.0%	(C)
Bridges < 100% legal but not posted (GA=A or R)	0	100.0%	(C)
Bridges to be posted but aren't (GA code B)	0	100.0%	(C)

METRIC 22 - Inventory (partial review)

Structure Length		JAL COUNT	COMPLIANCE
Number of bridges with length or span difference		0	depends on sample size
Culvert Span			
unusually long steel culvert spans		0	depends on sample size
LAT/LONG			
missing coordinates		0	d <mark>epends on sample size</mark>

PRELIMINARY FHWA 23 Metric Matrix

23 metrics used by FHWA to measure NBIS compliance

Compliance Codes for the following Metrics:

- (C) Compliant
- (SC) Substantially Compliant
- (CC) Conditionally Compliant (Adhering
- (NC) Not Compliant

Metric	Description	(C)	(SC)	(CC)	(NC)
1	State Bridge Inspection Organization				
2	Program Manager Qualification				
3	Team Leader Qualification				
4	Load Rating Engineer Qualification				
5	UW Bridge Inspection Diver Qualification				
6	Routine Inspection Frequency - Low Risk				
7	Routine Inspection Frequency - High Risk				
8	UW Inspection Frequency - Low Risk				
9	UW Inspection Frequency - High Risk				
10	FC Inspection Frequency				
11	Frequency Criteria				
12	Inspection Quality ** 100%				
13	Load Rating				
14	Posted or Restricted Bridges				
15	Bridge Files				
16	FC Bridges				
17	UW inspection procedures				
18	Scour Critical Bridges				
19	Complex Bridges				
20	QC/QA				
21	Critical Findings				
22	Inventory ** 93%				
23	Updating of Data				

** based on results of Field Review

	<u>Metric</u>	Action Needed
ſ	13	add PE name and stamp to all load ratings





GENERAL APPRAISAL COMPARISON

NBIS Bridges



All Bridges 10' and larger 35% 30% 25% 20% → PIK All bridges 15% 88 Counties Oct 2013 10% 5% 0% 9 8 7 6 5 3 2 1 0 4