2014 INVENTORY, APPRAISAL & INSPECTION SNAPSHOT

VINTON COUNTY ENGINEER

Inventory Data - BR 87 NBIS Bridges Only

Bridges Inspected	NBIS COUNT	County
NBIS Bridges > 20'	119	122
Bridges 10'-20'	91	89
	210	211

Possible NBIS length errors

10 *

ltem 95	Inspection Responsibility		CODE	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
	County		3	119	100.0%
ltem 97	Maintenance responsibility				
	County		3	119	100.0%
	City or other local		4	0	0.0%
	Railroad		6	0	0.0%
	Private		7	0	0.0%
	Combination		8	0	0.0%
	ODNR		А	0	0.0%
	Park District		С	0	0.0%
	Township		F	0	0.0%
				119	100.0%
Item 100	Type service on bridge				
	Other		0	0	0.0%
	Highway		1	117	98.3%
	Railroad		2	0	0.0%
	Ped/Bikeway	*	3	2	1.7%
	Hwy/RR		4	0	0.0%
	Hwy/Ped		5	0	0.0%
	RR Abnd. rails rem'vd		А	0	0.0%
				119	100.0%

Item 100	Type service under bridge			
	Hwy w/ or w/o Ped	1	0	0.0%
	Railroad	2	0	0.0%
	Ped/Bkwy	3	0	0.0%
	Hwy w/ RR	4	0	0.0%
	Waterway	5	119	100.0%
	Hwy/Waterway	6	0	0.0%
	RR/Waterway	7	0	0.0%
	Hwy/Wtrway/RR	8	0	0.0%
	Relief (RR w/o tracks)	9	0	0.0%
	Other	0	0	0.0%
			119	100.0%

Structure Type	CODE	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
concrete slab simple	111	31	26.1%
concrete slab continuous	112	2	1.7%
concrete girder thru	164	1	0.8%
concrete frame simple	171	1	0.8%
concrete culvert filled	195	2	1.7%
prestressed conc. box beam simple	231	9	7.6%
prestressed conc. box beam continuous	232	2	1.7%
steel beam simple	321	40	33.6%
steel beam continuous	322	3	2.5%
steel truss thru	344	25	21.0%
timber truss thru	444	3	2.5%
		119	100.0%

ltem 188	Fracture Critical		CODE	COUNT	<u>%</u>
	fracture cr	itical member	Y	25	21.0%
	fracture cr	itical member	Ν	94	79.0%
				119	100.0%
	No. of stee	el trusses and girders	* 34 <u>x</u> , 36	<u>x</u> 25	
				VEH TRAFFIC	
	Fracture Critical File	to be completed by	April 1, 2013	<u>COUNT</u>	
	Required Fracture Crit	ical Files	25 truss/g	irde 25	
	(including written Pro	cedure and FPD)			
	Gusset Pl. Analysis	to be completed by	December 31, 2011	COUNT	
	Required Gusset Plate	Analysis	25 trusses	25	
ltem 189	Underwater		<u>CODE</u>	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
	requires di	ve inspection	Ν	119	100.0%
	requires di	ve inspection	Y	0	0.0%
	dive inspec	ction dates		0	0.0%
I					

ltem 74	Scour							
		Bridge not over water	way		N		0	0.0%
		unknown foundation			U		0	0.0%
		over tidal waters			т		0	0.0%
		foundations on dry lar	nd		9		0	0.0%
		stable above footing			8		49	41.2%
		countermeasures insta	alled		7		0	0.0%
		no scour evaluation m	ade		6		0	0.0%
		stable within footer lin	nits		5		70	58.8%
		stable action needed			4		0	0.0%
		scour critical - unstable	e		3		0	0.0%
		scour critical - scour p	resent		2		0	0.0%
		scour critical - failure i	mminent		1		0	0.0%
		scour critical - bridge f	ailed		0		0	0.0%
						119		100.0%
ltem 71	Foundation	п Туре						
	Compare w	ith Scour "Unkown Fo	undation"					
		Forward Abutment	*	U			65	1.4%
		Rear Abutment		U			65	1.4%
		Predominate Pier		U			63	52.9%
					Single Span	55		

Single Span 55

Item 87	Plan Information	CODE	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
	no plans	0	23	19.3%
	plans available	1	45	37.8%
	field information	2	51	42.9%
	not applicable	Ν	0	0.0%
			119	100.0%

Load Factor	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
Operating RF and Inventory RF equal to each other *	4	3.4%

Method of Rating = 0 NO PLANS	COUNT	<u>%</u>
	23	19.3%
Method Of Rating = 5	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
	2	1.7%
	Ped Bridges	ОК

Deep Culverts		<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
Culvert	fill>6.5'	0	0.0%

195 Culvert vs 171 Frame	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
# that do NOT meet the 2' Rule	0	0.0%

Item 84	Method of Analysis	<u>CODE</u>	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
	Field Eval & Doc. Eng Judgment	0	23	19.3%
	WS or AS	1	34	28.6%
	Load Factor (LF)	2	50	42.0%
	Load & Resistance Factor	3	0	0.0%
	Combination of methods	4	10	8.4%
	Engineering Judgment Superstr	5	2	1.7%
	Load testing	6	0	0.0%
	Engineering Judgment Substr	7	0	0.0%
	Assigned Rating (LFR) HS20	D	0	0.0%
	Assigned Rating (LRFR) HL93	F	0	0.0%
	Not applicable (Ped, RR, Bldg)	Х	0	0.0%
			119	80.7%
REMINDE	D.			
REIVIIINDE		02	(with cortain ovcontions)	
	Load Factor required for bridges built after 19 LRFR required for bridges built after 2010	55	(with certain exceptions)	

Inspection Condition Data - BR 86 NBIS Bridges Only

General Appraisal		CODE	COUNT	<u>%</u>
	9 Excellent	9	12	10.1%
	8 Very good	8	30	25.2%
	7 Good	7	20	16.8%
	6 Satisfactory	6	22	18.5%
	5 Fair	5	22	18.5%
	4 Poor	4	10	8.4%
	3 Serious	3	3	2.5%
	2 Critical	2	0	0.0%
	1 Imminent Failure	1	0	0.0%
	0 Closed	0	0	0.0%

Rating Consistency	COUNT	<u>%</u>
GA <> Summary Items	0	0.0%
1-4 codes <> Summary *	30	1.2%

INSPECTION FREQUENCY			COUNT
Number inspections per day			
Inspector	BS	Avg.	11.3
		High	16
Inspector	PT	Avg.	10.2
		High	13
Inspector	JM	Avg.	7.6
		High	13
Recommended Max. 10 per day	#	days over 10	10
Maximum 50 reviews per day			_

Operating Status	CODE	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
Open, No restriction	А	91	76.5%
Open, posting recommended	В	0	0.0%
Open, Half width construction	С	0	0.0%
Open because of temporary fix	D	0	0.0%
Open using temporary structure	E	0	0.0%
New struture not yet open	G	0	0.0%
closed for load capacity reason	К	0	0.0%
Posted for load capacity	P *	20	16.8%
Posted for other than load	R	7	5.9%
Closed for other than load	X Ped br	1	0.8%
		119	100.0%

ltem 41	AGE of BRIDGES	YEAR (built or rehab)	COUNT	
		-1900	2	1.7%
		1901-1910	0	0.0%
		1911-1920	4	3.4%
		1921-1930	0	0.0%
		1931-1940	15	12.6%
		1941-1950	2	1.7%
		1951-1960	20	16.8%
		1961-1970	8	6.7%
		1971-1980	7	5.9%
		1981-1990	14	11.8%
		1991-2000	24	20.2%
		2001-2010	16	13.4%
		2011-2020	7	5.9%
			119	100.0%

(C)	Compliant
(SC)	Substantially Compliant
(CC)	Conditionally Compliant (Adhering to approved pan of corrective action)
(NC)	Not Compliant

METRIC 6 Insp. Frequency Routine

Bridge Inspections C	Verdue ACTU	JAL COUNT	<u>% COMPLIANT</u>	COMPLIANCE
NBIS -	24 months	0	100.0%	(C)
ORC -	12 mo. + 6 mo. Input	0	100.0%	N/A
OBC is no	ot in Metric 6			

ORC is not in Metric 6

METRIC 8 - Insp. Frequency Underwater

Dive Inspections Overdue	<u>ACTU</u>	AL COUNT	<u>% COMPLI</u>	ANT <u>CO</u>	MPLIANCE
60 months		0	N/A		(C)

METRIC 10 - Insp. Frequency FC Member

FC Inspections Overdue	<u>ACTU</u>	AL COUNT	<u>% Complian</u>	NT <u>COMPLIANC</u>
24 months		0	100.0%	(C)

METRIC 13 - Load Rating

	Need for	# Not	% of NBIS	
Type of Metric check	<u>compliance</u>	Rated	Rated	COMPLIANCE
Deck, Super, Sub, Culvert Summary <=4	100%	0	100.0%	(C)
Operating Status = D or E	100%	0	100.0%	(C)
FC=Y	100%	0	100.0%	(C)
Operating Status = P or R	100%	0	100.0%	(C)
Bridges with no restrictions	100%	0	100.0%	(C)

METRIC 14 - Post or Restrict

		<u>%</u>	
		<u>COMPLIA</u>	
Bridge posting/closing Follow-through	COUNT	<u>NT</u>	COMPLIANCE
Bridges below 10% legal but not closed	0	100.0%	(C)
Operating Rating Factor = 0 but not closed	0	100.0%	(C)
Bridges < 100% legal but not posted (GA=A or R)	0	100.0%	(C)
Bridges to be posted but aren't (GA code B)	0	100.0%	(C)

METRIC 22 - Inventory (partial review)

Structure Length	ACTUAL COUNT	<u>COMPLIANCE</u>
Number of bridges with length or span difference	0	d <mark>epends on sample size</mark>
<u>Culvert Span</u>		
unusually long steel culvert spans	0	d <mark>epends on sample size</mark>
LAT/LONG		
missing coordinates	0	d <mark>epends on sample size</mark>

PRELIMINARY FHWA 23 Metric Matrix

23 metrics used by FHWA to measure NBIS compliance

Compliance Codes for the following Metrics:

- (C) Compliant
- (SC) Substantially Compliant
- (CC) Conditionally Compliant (Adherin
- (NC) Not Compliant

Metric	Description	(C)	(SC)	(CC)	(NC)
1	State Bridge Inspection Organization				
2	Program Manager Qualification				
3	Team Leader Qualification				
4	Load Rating Engineer Qualification				
5	UW Bridge Inspection Diver Qualification				
6	Routine Inspection Frequency - Low Risk				
7	Routine Inspection Frequency - High Risk				
8	UW Inspection Frequency - Low Risk				
9	UW Inspection Frequency - High Risk				
10	FC Inspection Frequency				
11	Frequency Criteria				
12	Inspection Quality ** 97%				
13	Load Rating				
14	Posted or Restricted Bridges				
15	Bridge Files				
16	FC Bridges				
17	UW inspection procedures				
18	Scour Critical Bridges				
19	Complex Bridges				
20	QC/QA				
21	Critical Findings				
22	Inventory ** 93%				
23	Updating of Data				

** based on results of Field Review

Metric Action Needed	
----------------------	--

2	PM needs Refresher class within year	
12	All Ratings should be within 1 value of the MBI	
13	13 PE stamp and signature needed. Documentation for Engineering Judgment needed	
22	Check inventory items during next cycle of inspection	





GENERAL APPRAISAL COMPARISON



