Athens County 2019 INVENTORY, APPRAISAL & INSPECTION SNAPSHOT

Inventory Data - NBIS Bridges Only

	NBIS COUNT
NBIS Bridges > 20'	155
Bridges 10'-20'	180
	335

*Possible NBIS length errors 1

Item 221	Inspection Responsibility	CODE	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
	County	3	155	100.0%
Item 21	Maintenance responsibility			
	County	3	155	100.0%
	City or other local	4	0	0.0%
	Railroad	6	0	0.0%
	Private	7	0	0.0%
	Combination	8	0	0.0%
	Park District	С	0	0.0%
	Township	F	0	0.0%
			155	100.0%
Item 42A	Type service on bridge			
	Other	0	0	0.0%
	Highway	1	155	100.0%
	Railroad	2	0	0.0%
	Ped/Bikeway	3	0	0.0%
	Hwy/RR	4	0	0.0%
	Hwy/Ped	5	0	0.0%
	RR Abnd. rails rem'vd	Α	0	0.0%
			155	100.0%
Item 42B	*Type service under bridge			
	Hwy w/ or w/o Ped	1	0	0.0%
	Railroad	2	0	0.0%
	Ped/Bkwy	3	1	0.6%
	Hwy w/ RR	4	0	0.0%
	Waterway	5	154	99.4%
	Hwy/Waterway	6	0	0.0%
	RR/Waterway	7	0	0.0%
	Hwy/Wtrway/RR	8	0	0.0%
	Relief (RR w/o tracks)	9	0_	0.0%
			155 155	100.0%

ITEMS	Structure Type	(Items 43A, 43B, 43C)	CODE	COUNT	%
	concrete slab simple		111	21	<u>/</u> 13.5%
	concrete slab simple		112	7	4.5%
	concrete beam simp		121	3	4.9% 1.9%
	concrete frame sim		171	4	2.6%
	concrete culvert fille		195	5	3.2%
	prestressed conc. sl		211	1	0.6%
	prestressed conc. be	•	221	4	2.6%
	prestressed conc. be	•	222	1	0.6%
	prestressed conc. bo		231	62	40.0%
	prestressed conc. bo	•	232	2	1.3%
	steel beam simple		321	32	20.6%
	steel beam continue	bus	322	3	1.9%
	steel girder thru		364	1	0.6%
	steel culvert filled		395	3	1.9%
	timber truss thru		444	3	1.9%
	steel truss (pony)		34A	3	1.9%
				155	100.0%
ltem 92A	*Fracture Critical		<u>CODE</u>	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
	fracture	critical member	Y	4	2.6%
	fracture	critical member	Ν	150	96.8%
				154	99.4%
	No. of st	eel trusses and girders	4 34 <u>x</u> , 36 <u>x</u>	4	
			<mark>1 blank, s</mark> ł	hould be N	
Item 113	Scour				
		ot over waterway	N	1	0.6%
		n foundation	U	0	0.0%
	over tida		T	0	0.0%
		ons on dry land	9	1	0.6%
		ove footing	8	41	26.5%
		neasures installed	7	0	0.0%
		evaluation made	6	0	0.0%
			г	64	41.3%
	Stable Wi	thin footer limits	5	0-	1110/0
		thin footer limits tion needed	5 4	48	31.0%
	stable ac				
	stable ac scour cri	tion needed	4	48	31.0%

scour critical - failure imminent scour critical - bridge failed

1

0

0

0

155

0.0%

0.0%

100.0%

Item 92B	Underwater	CODE	COUNT	<u>%</u>
	requires dive inspection	Ν	154	99.4%
	requires dive inspection	Y	0	0.0%
	dive inspection dates		0	0.0%
			154	99.4%
			1 blank	

Item 709	*Plan Information	CODE	COUNT	<u>%</u>
	no plans	0	55	35.5%
	plans available	1	66	42.6%
	field information	2	34	21.9%
	not applicable	Ν	0	0.0%
			155	100.0%

Item 63	*Documented Engineering Judgm	COUNT	<u>%</u>		
	Field Eval & Doc EJ			37	23.9%
	Rating Code in Error	D and F	0 171 or 195	0	
		BR	_100 for these bridge	es?	

o Culverts	(depth of fill)	COUNT	%

Item 580 Deep Culverts	(depth of fill)	COUNT	<u>%</u>
Culvert	fill>6.5'	0	0.0%

Items	*195 Culvert vs 171 Frame	(Items 43A, 43B, 43C)	COUNT	<u>%</u>
	# that do NOT mee	t the 2' Rule	4	2.6%

ltem 63	*Method of Analysis	CODE	COUNT	<u>%</u>		
	Field Eval & Doc. Eng Judgment	0	37	23.9%		
	Load testing	4	0	0.0%		
	No Rating done	5	0	0.0%		
	Load Factor (LF)	6	86	55.5%		
	WS or AS	7	17	11.0%		
	Load & Resistance Factor	8	15	9.7%		
	Assigned Rating (LFR) HS20	D	0	0.0%		
	Assigned Rating (LRFR) HL93	F	0	0.0%		
	Not applicable (Ped, RR, Bldg)	Х	0	0.0%		
			155	100.0%		
REMINDE	R:					
	Load Factor required for bridges built after	1993	(with certain exceptio	ns)		
	LRFR required for bridges built after 2010					

Inspection Condition Data - NBIS Bridges Only

ltem 41	*Operating Status	<u>CODE</u>	<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
	Open, No restriction	А	117	75.5%
	Open, posting recommended	В	0	0.0%
	Open, Half width construction	С	0	0.0%
	Open because of temporary fix	D	0	0.0%
	Open using temporary structure	E	0	0.0%
	New struture not yet open	G	0	0.0%
	closed for load capacity reason	К	1	0.6%
	Posted for load capacity	Р	37	23.9%
	Posted for other than load	R	0	0.0%
	Closed for other than load	Х	0	0.0%
			155	100.0%

	*General Appr	aisal	CODE		<u>COUNT</u>	<u>%</u>
		9 Excellent	9		19	12.3%
GOOD	39.4%	8 Very good	8		20	12.9%
		7 Good	7		22	14.2%
FAIR	45.8%	6 Satisfactory	6		48	31.0%
		5 Fair	5		23	14.8%
		4 Poor	4		17	11.0%
POOR	14.8%	3 Serious	3		6	3.9%
		2 Critical	2	К	0	0.0%
		1 Imminent Failure	1	К	0	0.0%
		0 Closed	0	К	0	0.0%
	•				155	100.0%

FHWA Performance Measures

Performance	% Deck Are	Deck Area		Lowest of GA or Deck	<u>COUNT</u>	Deck s.f
		15.1%	9	Excellent	19	27,318
GOOD	49.0%	22.0%	8	Very good	19	39,869
		11.9%	7	Good	22	21,506
FAIR	43.0%	27.9%	6	Satisfactory	47	50,498
		15.1%	5	Fair	25	27,373
		5.5%	4	Poor	16	9,969
POOR	8.0%	2.5%	3	Serious	7	4,586
		0.0%	2	Critical	0	0
		0.0%	1	Imminent Failure	0	0
		0.0%	0	Closed	0	0
· · ·	100.0%	100.0%			155	181,119

Items	AGE of BRIDGES	(Items 27, 106)	YEAR (built or rehab)	COUNT	
	ORIGINAL DATE		Latest of ORIG or REH	IAB date	
	-1900	7	-1900	2	1.3%
	1901-1910	0	1901-1910	0	0.0%
	1911-1920	3	1911-1920	1	0.6%
	1921-1930	7	1921-1930	3	1.9%
	1931-1940	19	1931-1940	15	9.7%
	1941-1950	13	1941-1950	11	7.1%
	1951-1960	13	1951-1960	12	7.7%
	1961-1970	19	1961-1970	15	9.7%
	1971-1980	14	1971-1980	17	11.0%
	1981-1990	4	1981-1990	14	9.0%
	1991-2000	32	1991-2000	36	23.2%
	2001-2010	9	2001-2010	11	7.1%
	2011-2020	15	2011-2020	18	11.6%
		155		155	100.0%

Load Rating Errors	<u>COUNT</u>
Inv RF too low or Op RF too high	1
GVW is incorrect	1
Legal Load RF should not be equal to each other except when Method of	
Rating = 0,4,5 or metal culverts	1

Load Ratings Due	COUNT
SHV due end 2020 DONE	37
SHV load ratings Due end 2020	26
EV Load Ratings DONE	0
EV Load Ratings Due end 2022 - ON HOLD	31
EV Load Rating needed because of date	3

(C)	Compliant
(SC)	Substantially Compliant
(CC)	Conditionally Compliant (Adhering to approved pan of corrective action)
(NC)	Not Compliant

METRIC 6 Insp. Frequency Routine

Bridge Inspections C	Verdue	ACTUAL COUNT	<u>% COMPLIANT</u>	COMPLIANCE
NBIS -	24 months	0	100.0%	(C)
ORC -	Calendar Year	0	100.0%	(C)
BIM -	18 months	0	100.0%	(C)

METRIC 8 - Insp. Frequency Underwater

Dive Inspections Overdue	ACTUAL COUNT	<u>% COMPLIANT</u>	COMPLIANCE
60 months	0	N/A	(C)

METRIC 10 - Insp. Frequency FC Member

FC Inspections Overdue	ACTUAL COUNT	<u>% COMPLIANT</u>	COMPLIANCE
24 months	0	100.0%	(C)

METRIC 13 - Load Rating

	Need for	# Not	% of NBIS	
Type of Metric check	<u>compliance</u>	Rated	Rated	COMPLIANCE
Deck, Super, Sub, Culvert Summary <=4	100%	0	100.0%	(C)
Operating Status = D or E	100%	0	100.0%	(C)
FC=Y	100%	0	100.0%	(C)
Operating Status = P or R	100%	0	100.0%	(C)
Bridges with no restrictions	100%	0	100.0%	(C)

*METRIC 14 - Post or Restrict

		<u>%</u>	
		<u>COMPLIA</u>	
Bridge posting/closing Follow-through	COUNT	<u>NT</u>	COMPLIANCE
Bridges below 10% legal but not closed	0	100.0%	(C)
Operating Rating Factor = 0 but not closed	0	100.0%	(C)
Bridges < 100% legal but not posted (OpStatus = A or R)	0	100.0%	(C)
Bridges to be posted but aren't (Op Status code B)	0	100.0%	(C)

METRIC 22 - Inventory (partial review)

Structure Length	ACTUAL COUNT	<u>COMPLIANCE</u>
Number of bridges with length or span differe	ence 0	depends on sample size
*Culvert Span		
unusually long steel culvert spans	0	depends on sample size
*Location		
Item 9 Location	0	depends on sample size
missing coordinates	0	depends on sample size

PRELIMINARY FHWA 23 Metric Matrix

23 metrics used by FHWA to measure NBIS compliance

Compliance Codes for the following Metrics:

- (C) Compliant
- (SC) Substantially Compliant
- (CC) Conditionally Compliant (Adhering to approved PCA)
- (NC) Not Compliant

Metric	Description	(C)	(SC)	(CC)	(NC)
1	State Bridge Inspection Organization				
2	Program Manager Qualification				
3	Team Leader Qualification				
4	Load Rating Engineer Qualification				
5	UW Bridge Inspection Diver Qualification				
6	Routine Inspection Frequency - Low Risk				
7	Routine Inspection Frequency - High Risk				
8	UW Inspection Frequency - Low Risk				
9	UW Inspection Frequency - High Risk				
10	FC Inspection Frequency				
11	Frequency Criteria				
12	Inspection Quality **				
13	Load Rating				
14	Posted or Restricted Bridges				
15	Bridge Files				
16	FC Bridges				
17	UW inspection procedures				
18	Scour Critical Bridges				
19	Complex Bridges				
20	QC/QA				
21	Critical Findings				
22	Inventory **				
23	Updating of Data				

** based on results of Field Review

12	Improve comments with quantities and measurements
22	Check inventory items for accuracy, suggest to use BM-191 form in field

AGE VS. CONDITION

Overall Shape of AGE and CONDITION graphs typically mirror each other









GENERAL APPRAISAL COMPARISON



